## e Think It I

- By LEW SCARR Staff Writer, The San Diego Union

## CPYRGHT

Perhaps no area of our government having a direct bearing on our attitude in the cold war has been more controversial, yet less understood than our intelligence network.

It is partly that we don't know what the Central Intelligence Agency does, but if it does what we think it does, it goes against our sense of fair play and that is bad.

The popular notion is that the CIA is a law unto itself. It is believed that it freely interferes in the internal affairs. World War I. of sovereign nations, and that it overthrows anti-American governments, man," Ransom writes, "who had traveven democratically elected ones, to in-eled abroad extensively. So far as install anti-Communist governments.

Some writers have capitalized on these beliefs, shadowed them with a cloak and fastened them with a dagger and written books to support them, runner of CIA. Fortunately, most were crudely written.

and rudely received.,

Still, many congressmen and some journalists continue to ask, why have an intelligence community at all? Mostly the questioners are those to whom "intelligence" connotes spics, saboteurs and political activists.

munity consider the question absurd. But it deserves an answer.

Any president of a large corporation, responsibilities.

He may get it from newspapers; from briefings by his subordinates or from reports from consultants. Wherever, he must have intelligence, in both senses of the word; or he will not survive long.

Before World War II, the armed services had relied heavily upon civilian specialists in wars and, when the fighting was over, they sent the specialists hard to say. home and forget all about the need for intelligence,

attache could learn at a dinner, more problem unsolved than to risk failure or less, over the coffee cups."

Five months before the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, Harry Howe Ransom reports in "The Intelligence. Establishment," President Roosevelt summoned Col. (later Maj. Gen.) William J. Donovan to draft a plan for A new intelligence service designed for the requirements of a global war and atterned in the main after the British.

Donovan was a successful lawyer who had won the Medal of Honor in

"He was an imaginative, aggressive" telligence work went, he was an amateur, but in the American tradition of public service he seemed qualified to assemble what was to become the fore-

During World War II the closest approach to a central intelligence system was the widely publicized Office of Strategic Services - the almost legendary OSS.

It is difficult to assess the worth of OSS because its official history still re-Those living in the intelligence com- mains classified. Still, it must be given credit, despite traditional detractors, for invaluable contributions to Allied victory, especially in Burma and in deand, indeed, any chief of state, must feating the Axis in North Africa and in have "intelligence" if he is to fulfill his aiding the French resistance move- Allen Dulles said: . ment in France.

> But it wasn't until 1917 that Congress created the CIA. It was fashioned after OSS and it was born during the year that cold war was declared.

Actually, Congress in setting up CIA delegated it a single function, intelligence, and nothing more. That it does much more is without question, but just what and where it does it is.

There is a theory among intelligence agents, the good ones; that there should Gen. George C. Marshell once de- "almost always" be no failures. It is scribed the Army's foreign intelligence better, so the theory goes, to leave a

or discovery.

Still, there have been failures: The Pay of Pigs, the U2 incident.

Taking into account CIA's policy toward supercaution, it would seem reasonable to assume that for every failure there must have been, oh, ten or more successes.

The failures have been pinned on the CIA while the successes almost never are. Not definitely.

Some have suspected the CIA of having brought on the downfall of Nkrumah in Ghana and Sukarno in Indonesia, of having installed the military junta in Greece and of having thrown Sibanouk out of Cambodia.

But these credits, if they are, do nothing more than support the notions of observers who see the CIA as a molder of temporary geography and a shaper of tentative history.

It is the same attitude which Miles Copeland III, who once worked for the State Department and the CIA, writes to in his "The Game of Nations:

"In the intelligence game, competitors seek to gain the greatest possible advantage short of going to war.'

Yet, the primary function of the CIA continues to be to coordinate the whole intelligence system, consisting of some 10 or 12 separate services, to ensure as

"That it gives our government's top policy makers exactly the information they need, no more and no less, in order to make the right decisions.'

Simple information, raw data, may the good or bad, accurate or inaccurate, relevant or irrelevant, timely or dated.

But "intelligence" is information that has been evaluated, correlated, boiled down to a workable size and placed in reports which can be quickly and easily

The chief job of CIA is to supervise this process. No one who understands anything about the demands of man-! agement can question the need for a

as "little more than what a military Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDF 5-400001 R0001000220034-8

that that agency must be the CIA.